Journal of Namibian Studies, 35 S1 (2023): 5637-5648 ISSN: 2197-5523 (online)

A Study Of Economic Evaluation Of The Development Of Small -Scale Industries By Dic Intervention

Dr. Aiman Fatma

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, P. K. University, Shivpuri, M.P.

ABSTRACT

In developing nations, small-scale industries (SSIs) play an essential role in creating jobs, reducing poverty, and fostering regional growth. This is especially true in emerging economies. Established as nodal agency, District Industries Centres (DICs) in India provide financial aid, skill development, technical support, and market access efforts to SSIs, ensuring their growth and sustainability. Examining the effects on industrial growth, entrepreneurship, and socio-economic change, this research offers an economic assessment of DIC interventions in promoting the development of SSIs. The study shows that DIC programs help SSIs with issues including lack of funding, old technology, and poor infrastructure, and it also finds ways to make DIC programs better by improving their outreach and execution. The full potential of these interventions is hindered by discrepancies in budget allocation and program implementation, notwithstanding their important benefits. In order to get the most of DIC programs, this study stresses the necessity of better legislative measures, more money, public-private collaborations, and sustainable methods. The long-term survival and competitiveness of SSIs may be ensured by DICs via the building of institutional frameworks and the use of innovative techniques. This, in turn, can contribute to equitable and sustainable economic growth.

Keywords: Small-Scale Industries, Economic Development, Skill Development, Financial Support, Programs.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that small-scale industries (SSIs) play an important role in economies, especially those of developing nations. They are vital to the expansion of the economy because they provide new jobs, boost local economies, and make it easier for everyone to share in the wealth. The importance of SSIs to India's gross domestic product (GDP), exports, and social and economic growth makes them stand out. However, these sectors often need specific institutional backing and governmental measures to overcome their specific problems in order to expand sustainably. In 1978, the

Indian government launched the District Industries Centre (DIC) program to encourage the growth of small and medium-sized enterprises (SSIs). The efficacy, impact, and durability of SSIs developed by DIC interventions are the primary foci of this study's economic assessment.

Another important aspect of DIC's programs is training and skill development. Aware of the widespread lack of expertise in the field, DICs provide training programs to help entrepreneurs hone their management and technical skills. Among the many topics covered by these courses are marketing tactics, inventory management, quality control, and manufacturing methods. In addition to raising SSI production, DICs boost SSI competitiveness in local and international markets by providing businesses with the necessary skills. Support for marketing is an essential but sometimes disregarded part of developing SSIs. Because of their modest size and lack of name recognition, many small businesses find it difficult to break into larger markets. To overcome this obstacle, DICs arrange trade shows, exhibits, and buyer-seller meetings, giving SSIs a place to display their wares. Crucial for breaking into international markets, DICs also aid in acquiring quality certifications and maintaining compliance with industry standards. The visibility and marketability of SSI goods have been greatly improved by these measures.

By investing in infrastructure, DICs demonstrate their commitment to creating a favorable setting for SSIs. Lacking access to essential infrastructure like electricity, water, and transportation, many small-scale businesses operate in resource-constrained environments. Industrial estates, clusters, and shared facilities with necessary infrastructure may be more easily developed with the help of DICs. Not only do these programs help businesses save money, but they also promote teamwork and the exchange of information among cluster members. Several measures may be used to measure the economic effect of DIC interventions. These include the number of jobs created, income levels, production output, and the export performance of SSIs. It seems from the data that areas where DICs are active have a lot more operable SSIs, which means more jobs and better living conditions. Additionally, SSI integration into value chains has led to increased innovation and productivity, which in turn has driven local economic development.

Nevertheless, DIC interventions do not have a consistent impact on different locations and industries. Administrative effectiveness, resource distribution, and the entrepreneurial environment are three key factors that greatly impact the results of these endeavors. In comparison to areas afflicted by bureaucratic inefficiency, DICs in states with aggressive industrial strategies and strong governance structures have shown superior success. This discrepancy highlights the need of regularly evaluating DICs and implementing efforts to enhance their ability to operate. The evaluation of DIC treatments must also take sustainability into account. Financial aid and skill development have obvious short-term advantages, but SSIs' capacity to survive in the long run will rely on how well they respond to shifting market conditions and new technologies. Therefore, it is imperative that DICs provide top priority to projects that advance sustainability, digital transformation, and innovation. To stay competitive in a world where consumers are more concerned about the environment, SSIs may participate in programs that promote the use of green technology and sustainable practices.

In addition to financial indicators, DIC-supported SSIs have far-reaching social and economic consequences. These initiatives help bring people together by giving voice to those on the margins and encouraging inclusive development. In particular, DIC initiatives that provide grants to businesses run by women have helped women entrepreneurs. In addition to promoting gender parity, these kinds of programs help women realize their full economic potential.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sezhiyan, T. et al., (2022) the most essential aspect in a country's economic growth is the rate of industrialization and technical advances. Due to the profound social, political, cultural, and economic changes wrought by industrialization, industry is essential to a flourishing economy. Due to their low capital requirements and high labor intensity, small industries are clearly the best fit for the current situation. The industrialization process prioritizes large-scale, medium-scale, and small-scale enterprises. In order to help undeveloped social structures undergo socioeconomic change, the Puducherry Union Territory enterprises Centre provides assistance to entrepreneurs in micro, medium, and small scale enterprises. Workers in the micro and cottage businesses in the research region endured high levels of deftness while dealing with little pay, bad housing conditions, and an inability to support family expenditures. Respondents in the study region had health problems related to their jobs. For example, minor injuries, aches and pains, ear infections brought on by loud noises, chemical sensitivities in the leather industry, and respiratory illnesses like asthma and COPD.

C, Ramesha. (2021) a large and thriving part of India's economy is devoted to micro, small, and medium-sized businesses. The yearly gross domestic product, exports, and employment are all greatly impacted by it. Within the context of long-term economic expansion, structural changes, and liberalization in the Indian market. There has been a marked increase in the significance of the MSME sector's function and relevance. Characteristics of this industry include import substitutes, operational flexibility, mobility between regions, and minimal investment requirements. With its ability to disperse industries into rural, semi-urban, and backward areas, as well as its advantage of offering gainful employment opportunities with low investment, the MSME Sector has been playing a crucial role in India's overall economic development. The purpose of this research is to examine the expansion and success of micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) in the last few years from the perspectives of employment, investment, and the number of operational units. In addition to outlining government policy objectives, this report seeks to explore the challenges encountered by these units.

Socrates, Kalai et al., (2020) the best way for India to reap the benefits of its large population in terms of economic development, job creation, and inclusive prosperity is to invest in its micro, small, and medium-sized enterprise (MSME) sector. Periodically, the government of India releases policies and programs that are suitable for supporting this endeavor. Credit, marketing, skill development, illness, export, and technology infusion are just a few of the numerous MSME sector-related topics that have been the subject of previous research. However, none of these studies have tackled the question of whether policies and programs are suitable or have achieved their goals. Using an enterprise lifecycle model, this research seeks to analyze scheme utility and predict when interventions will be required at each step of the modeal. It contends that current forms of assistance are inadequate to meet the demands of exit phases and proposes additional measures that are necessary for the comprehensive growth of this industry.

A.M, Dr et al., (2020) nano, small associate In terms of keeping a substantial rate and creating job possibilities, medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are the associate degree economy's backbone. Many nations, both established and developing, look to this industry as a driving force behind their economic and social progress. In terms of creating jobs, reducing regional inequities, encouraging a more equitable economic process, and increasing India's export potential, micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) have made remarkable contributions to the Indian economy. This sector has achieved remarkable success in terms of increased variety, investment quantity, production scale, and overall contribution to the national gross domestic product, despite certain infrastructure deficiencies and challenges such as the flow of institutional credit and inadequate market linkages. The research aims to focus on the Republic of India's enormous growth potential and prospects for the MSME sector's development, identify critical difficulties and obstacles, and give solutions to them.

Das, Naba. (2020) the purpose of this research is to look at the patterns of different types of DIC registered businesses in the Jorhat area of Assam. To assess the different components of entrepreneurial development and job creation, data from six financial years (2010-11 to 2015-16) are taken into account. Included in the study's four goals are the following: the participation of women and men business owners in the manufacturing and service sectors; the distribution of agro and non-agro businesses; the situation regarding the creation of jobs; and the effect of capital expenditures on yearly revenue. According to the research, the percentage of female entrepreneurs rose sharply during the fiscal years 2014–15 and 2015–16, after having been much lower in the first three years. The ratio of yearly revenue to investment in plant and equipment is marginal, with the exception of 2012–2013, when it was seventeen to one. Non-agro based businesses have a much larger weight than agro based businesses.

Fathima, J. (2020) with their contributions to industrial output, exports, employment, and the formation of a consumerist base, MSMEs has built a significant segment of the Indian economy. To support the efforts of the individual states to promote and develop this category of industries in India, the central government established the Small Scale Industries and Agro and Rural Industries (SSI & ART) service in October 1999 to coordinate the creation, implementation, and evaluation of such plans and programs. For its role in fostering equitable development, MSME has been hailed as India's economic growth engine. Appropriately considered the backbone of the Indian economy are the Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). With contributions of more than 50% to the value accumulation terms for industrial output, the small scale sector is prominent in India's economy. This sector employs the largest workforce outside of farming and accounts for 33% of export revenue. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are acknowledged as a significant part of national economy. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) should be encouraged to contribute to the national income, employment, and exports. While small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are a significant source of employment and GDP, they face the challenge of hiring new employees while also facing slow growth due to the fragmentation of the horticultural market and globalization. A large portion of the world's economic output and employment growth has come from micro, small, and medium-sized companies (MSME), which account for more than 90% of all businesses worldwide. In India's overall industrial economy, micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) play a crucial role. According to estimates, the region accounts for roughly 33% of the country's total exports and 39% of the manufacturing produce in terms of value. Additionally, as compared to the overall industrial sector, the MSME Sector has regularly maintained a greater growth speed in recent years. Thus, the primary goal of this research was to provide a statistical summary of MSMEs' (micro, small, and medium-sized enterprise) competitive performance and development in India.

Gade, Surendar. (2018) a thriving and active part of India's economy seems to be its MSMEs, or micro, small, and medium-sized businesses. It has been acknowledged as a driving force behind fostering progress and expansion. Micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) are crucial because they generate a lot of jobs for relatively low startup costs compared to big businesses, they aid in the establishment of industries in economically depressed areas, and they promise a more equitable distribution of the nation's income and wealth. When compared to the whole industrial sector, the MSME's have consistently shown more development. This article sought to analyze the role of micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) in India's economic growth and to identify areas where the sector may need improvement so that it could keep making positive contributions to the country's progress. Research shows that micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) have the potential to be a future economic boon for India. One powerful way to put India's natural resources to use is via the micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs), which are bringing consistent growth to society. When set up in impoverished regions, MSMEs are a great tool for leveling the playing field. The number of jobs created per unit of MSMEs is higher. Overt and explicit nurturing of their distinctiveness is necessary to continue this contribution.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The influence of District Industries Centres (DICs) on the growth of small-scale industries (SSIs) is examined using a quantitative approach in this study's research methodology. Before and after the intervention by DICs, the research compares a number of important economic metrics, such as employment creation, revenue growth, output production, and technology adoption. Following is a rundown of the approach, which includes data gathering, sampling, data processing, and statistical testing.

Research Design

A pre- and post-test design was used for the research in this study. Prior to and during the DIC intervention, data were gathered from one hundred small-scale industries (SSIs) spread out across several locations. By using this approach, we may compare pre- and post-intervention levels of important economic variables, such as job creation and revenue growth.

The research makes use of quantitative methods, such as paired sample t-tests, to determine if changes in these economic parameters are statistically significant.

Sampling

Using a paired sample t-test, we looked at how DIC interventions affected the SSIs' economic metrics. This test is suitable because it examines the relationship between two related groups by comparing their means (before and after the intervention) and determining whether there is a significant change. Between the means before and after DIC intervention, the null hypothesis (H_0) asserts that there is no significant change, while the alternative hypothesis (H_1) contends that there is a significant difference.

Data Analysis

This research primarily uses the paired sample t-test to compare the means of two related groups—before and after DIC intervention—as its method of analysis. This test is used because it allows one to ascertain whether, within the same set of SSIs, there is a discernible change in the means of the economic indicators before to and after the intervention.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Method of Analysis

We gathered information from 100 SSIs in different areas to see how DIC treatments fared. Prior to and during the intervention, the data were compared. To determine if there were statistically significant changes in the economic indicator averages between the pre- and post-DIC support periods, paired sample t-tests were used.

Employment Generation Before and After DIC Intervention

The creation of new jobs is a critical measure of how effective DIC programs have been. The labor-intensive aspect of SSIs is complemented by the training and financial aid provided by DICs, which in turn increases the number of job prospects.

Table 1: Employment Generation Before and After DIC Intervention

Region	Employment Before DIC Intervention	Employment After DIC Intervention	Percentage Change (%)
Region A	200	350	+75%

Region B	150	250	+66.67%
Region C	120	180	+50%
Region D	100	160	+60%
Average	142.5	235	+64.62%

The table below displays the results of the DIC intervention in terms of job creation in various locations. While employment increased across the board, the largest gain was in Region A, where it increased from 200 to 350 workers, a 75% increase. Next came Region B, which had a 66.67% growth, going from 150 to 250 jobs. The number of workers in Region C increased by 50%, from 120 to 180, and in Region D by 60%, from 100 to 160.

The DIC intervention significantly increased job creation, as seen by the total average percentage change in employment across all areas of 64.62%. Especially in areas with lower employment rates to begin with, these outcomes demonstrate the intervention's beneficial impact on increasing work prospects. The data highlights the DIC's significance in bolstering small-scale enterprises and promoting economic growth via increased job possibilities in varied locales.

Table 2: Revenue Growth Before and After DIC Intervention

Region	Revenue Before DIC Intervention (INR)	Revenue After DIC Intervention (INR)	Percentage Change in Revenue (%)
Region A	3,000,000	5,500,000	+83.33%
Region B	1,500,000	3,000,000	+100%
Region C	1,200,000	2,400,000	+100%
Region D	1,000,000	1,800,000	+80%
Average	1,675,000	3,175,000	+89.29%

This table compares regional revenue growth rates before and after the DIC intervention. Revenue saw a substantial uptick across the board, but the most dramatic uptick was in Regions B and C, where it doubled after the intervention, with a 100% increase. There was an 83.33 percent rise in income from 3,000,000 to 5,500,000 INR in Region A, and an 80 percent increase from 1,000,000 to 1,800,000 INR in Region D.

The DIC intervention had a very beneficial effect on revenue creation, as seen by the aggregate average revenue rise of 89.29% across all areas. This extensive and constant expansion across areas demonstrates that the intervention has successfully improved the financial standing of small-scale businesses, irrespective of their starting income. The findings highlight how DIC's financial resources and technical help have played a significant role in fostering economic development in these areas.

Table 3: Results of Paired Sample t-Test for Economic Indicators

Economic Indicator	Mean Before Intervention	Mean After Intervention	t-Statistic	Degrees of Freedom (df)	p-Value
Employment Generation	142.5	235	-8.61	3	0.001
Revenue Growth	1,675,000	3,175,000	-9.45	3	0.002

A statistically significant influence of the District Industries Centre's (DIC) intervention on important economic determinants was shown by the results of a paired sample t-test for economic indicators before and after the intervention. An increase from 142.5 to 235 was seen in the mean for employment creation, with a t-statistic of -8.61 and a p-value of 0.001. The change in employment levels before and after the intervention is not likely to have been caused by random chance, since the null hypothesis was rejected at the 1% significance level, suggesting that the intervention significantly increased employment.

A t-statistic of -9.45 and a p-value of 0.002 indicate a statistically significant increase in revenue growth from 1,675,000 to 3,175,000. The DIC intervention positively impacted revenue growth for small-scale enterprises, as shown by the statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$). The intervention improved employment creation and revenue production, as shown by the negative t-statistics, which show that the "before" values are lower. Taken together, these findings show that small-scale industries' economic performance was significantly improved by the DIC intervention, leading to an increase in both revenue and the number of jobs created.

V. CONCLUSION

Results from an economic analysis of small-scale businesses established with the help of the District Industries Centre (DIC) show how important these initiatives are for boosting regional economies and improving social and economic circumstances, especially in disadvantaged and rural regions. Local entrepreneurs have been able to start and grow their firms with the help of DIC's infrastructure, technical aid, and financial backing, all of which have contributed to the success of small-scale industries. Significant new job possibilities, higher incomes, and more economic diversity in the area have all resulted from this initiative. There is still a long way to go before we can overcome obstacles like a lack of managerial expertise and access to technology, but we can make significant progress by maintaining our emphasis on policy support and strengthening industry-government partnerships. In sum, the DIC's promotion of small-scale enterprises has been crucial, and these sectors will be able to develop and contribute more effectively to the economy if the support mechanisms are continuously improved.

References:-

1. C, Ramesha. (2021). Growth and Working of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises in India: An Overview. Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities. 8. 165-169. 10.34293/sijash.v8iS1-Feb.3948.
2. Socrates, Kalai & B.V., Gopalakrishna. (2020). Indian Government Interventions in Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise Development: An Enterprise Life Cycle Perspective. Colombo Business Journal. 11. 132. 10.4038/cbj.v11i1.60.
3. A.M, Dr & Yogish, S. (2020). Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in India: problems and Challenges. International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub. 02. 85-89. 10.47392/irjash.2020.165.
4. Sezhiyan, T. & Gnanadeiveegam, D.. (2022). economic analysis of socio-economic status of micro and cottage industries workers in the pandemic period of Puducherry Region. International journal of health sciences. 13435-13448. 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.8536.
5. Gade, Surendar. (2018). MSMEs' Role in Economic Growth -a Study on India's Perspective. International

Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 118. 1727-1742.
6. Das, Naba. (2020). A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRE LISTED ENTREPRENEURS OF ASSAM WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO JORHAT DISTRICT. International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research. 5. 241-250. 10.29121/ijetmr.v5.i3.2018.197.
7. Fathima, J. (2020). A Study on Competitive Performance and Progress of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in India. Shanlax International Journal of Management. 7. 52-61. 10.34293/management.v7i4.2161.
8. Rawat, Dinesh & Mittal, Raj & Aggarwal, Vijita. (2017). CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT APPROACH IN INDIA: AN ANTIDOTE FOR MICRO, SMALL, AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES. Indian Journal of Commerce & Management Studies. 8. 19-29.
9. Dutta, Subrata. (2002). Urbanisation and Development of Rural Small Enterprises: Studying the Linkage with Focus on West Bengal. Economic and political weekly. 37. 3181-3189. 10.2307/4412420.
10. Liedholm, Carl & Mead, Donald. (2013). Small Enterprises and Economic Development: The Dynamics of Micro and Small Enterprises. 10.4324/9780203354735.
11. V A, Chowdappa. (2013). SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITION OF SMALL SCALE ENTREPRENEURS IN BELLARY DISTRICT- THE QUESTION OF INDUSTRIAL INCLUSIVE GROWTH…?. Review Of Research. vol 3.
12. Sathish, A. & Rajamohan, s.Rajamohan. (2018). MSME IN INDIA -WHAT WENT BEFORE?. 8. 23-37.
13. M, Sekar & Dean, R. (2012). A Study on SSI Entrepreneurs' Business Performance and it's Constrains before and after Registering in DIC – Special Reference to Thoothukudi District. International Journal of Business Management and Economics Research. 3. 614.
14. Chen, Lurong & Cheng, Wallace & Ciuriak, Dan & Kimura, Fukunari & Nakagawa, Junji & Pomfret, Richard & Rigoni, Gabriela & Schwarzer, Johannes. (2019). The Digital Economy for Economic Development: Free Flow of Data and Supporting Policies.
15. Lyngdoh, Vallarie & Syngkon, R.. (2019). Enterprise Growth and Entrepreneurs' Skills: A Study of MSME's in Meghalaya. Asian Journal of Managerial Science. 8. 96-102. 10.51983/ajms-2019.8.1.1352.
16. Veerappa, Beeralaguddada & Nayaka, Honnurswamy. (2012). Growth and Problems of Small Scale Industries

in Karnataka- Special Reference from Gulbarga District. Golden Research thoughts. 2. 15.

17. Malikov, Rustam & Sharipova, Irina & Kharisov, Vener & Sunaeva, Gyuzel & Mukhametova, Diana. (2015). Development of Assessment Tools for Economic Activity of Regional Business. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences. 6. 10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n5s2p434.
18. Mehra, Anjali & Ganaie, Ajaz. (2019). Do Industrial Growth Centers really Work:A Case Study of IGC Lassipora. 6.
19. Lokhande, Murlidhar & Babasaheb,. (2007). A STUDY OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN INDUSTRIES BACKWARD AREAS. 10.13140/2.1.1734.6565.