

# Interdisciplinary Collaboration In Healthcare Management: Integrating Health Informatics And Health Services Management For Organizations Success

Layla Basheer Alenazi <sup>(1)</sup>, Majed Mubarak Alanzi <sup>(2)</sup>, Nafla Abdulaziz Alonzi <sup>(3)</sup>, Tawfiq Muteb Almutairy <sup>(4)</sup>, Amjad Shneen Alenzi <sup>(5)</sup>, Sarah Faisal Alsubeai <sup>(6)</sup>, Ghalyah Saleh Almotiri <sup>(7)</sup>, Albandary Awadh Almutairi <sup>(8)</sup>, Abeer Ahmed Alshammari <sup>(9)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Health Informatics - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(2)</sup> Health Administration - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(3)</sup> Health Administration - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(4)</sup> Health Administration Specialist - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(5)</sup> Health Informatics - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(6)</sup> Health Informatics - Al Saada Primary Health Care Center.

<sup>(7)</sup> Health Informatics - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(8)</sup> Health Informatics - Riyadh Specialized Dental Center.

<sup>(9)</sup> Health Informatics - Riyadh -Primary Health Care - Center Middle Al .Naseem.

## Abstract:

As healthcare grows increasingly complex, integrated approaches across specialties are needed to address modern challenges. Health informatics and health services management both aim to optimize healthcare delivery, yet each brings a distinct perspective. Combining these disciplines holds promise for more holistic and collaborative solutions. However, meaningful interdisciplinary work requires overcoming cultural and communication barriers.

This review examines the potential benefits of and barriers to interdisciplinary collaboration between health informatics and health services management professionals. The goal of it is to identify opportunities for leveraging each specialty's strengths through strategic, relationship-focused approaches.

Strategic planning aligns these disciplines to work interdependently toward shared objectives that optimize

healthcare delivery through both human and technological approaches. Regular strategic collaboration in this way can help overcome cultural and communication challenges to interdisciplinary work.

A search of PubMed, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases was conducted in April 2022 using combinations of keywords like "health informatics," "healthcare management," "interdisciplinary," "collaboration," and "integration." Only peer-reviewed articles published between 2017-2022 in English were included. Government reports and organizational websites were also reviewed. A total of 25 sources discussing interdisciplinary collaboration between these fields met the criteria for analysis. The literature revealed several benefits of integrating health informatics and management perspectives. Combining data analytics skills with an understanding of clinical and administrative workflows can improve quality, safety, efficiency and patient outcome.

Emerging technologies present new opportunities, but also require adaptation. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and blockchain show promise in areas like predictive analytics, precision medicine and distributed record-keeping. However, their success depends on human-centered design and governance that considers social and ethical implications.

The literature confirms significant potential for synergistic impact through strategic interdisciplinary collaboration between health informatics and management. However, simply co-locating professionals is insufficient without attention to culture, communication and relationships. Leadership must champion relationship-building to develop shared understanding and priorities. Structures like integrated project teams can foster familiarity and trust between specialties.

Emerging technologies will continue shaping healthcare delivery in coming years. Their success depends on human-centered governance and integration that leverages interdisciplinary expertise. With commitment to understanding diverse perspectives and building collaborative habits, health systems can harness these tools to advance patient-centered care.

The literature confirms significant potential for improved outcomes through strategic integration of health informatics and management perspectives. However, meaningful collaboration requires attention to cultural differences,

communication gaps and relationship-building between specialties. With leadership support for interdisciplinary team structures and processes, organizations can leverage each field's strengths through data-driven, human-centered approaches. Doing so positions health systems to advance quality, safety, efficiency and care through emerging technologies. Further research should explore specific integration models and their impacts on outcomes.

### 1. Introduction:

As healthcare grows increasingly complex, integrated approaches across specialties are needed to address modern challenges [WHO 2022, Institute of Medicine 2001]. Health informatics and health services management both aim to optimize healthcare delivery, yet each brings a distinct perspective [Haux 2006, Swensen 2013, Edmondson 2019]. While informatics focuses on data, technology and their clinical applications, management centers on organizational processes, leadership and strategy [HIMSS 2022, Porter 2010]. Combining these disciplines holds promise for more holistic, collaborative solutions [Kuziemsky 2016, Sittig 2016]. However, meaningful interdisciplinary work requires overcoming cultural and communication barriers [Sittig 2016, Luo 2021].

This review examines the potential benefits of and barriers to interdisciplinary collaboration between health informatics and health services management professionals. It reviews current literature on successful integration strategies and emerging technologies shaping this field. The goal is to identify opportunities for leveraging each specialty's strengths through strategic, relationship-focused approaches.

### 2. Literature review:

Strategic planning is a core leadership process that systematically taps the unique strengths of health informatics and management. It aligns these disciplines to work interdependently toward shared objectives that optimize healthcare delivery through both human and technological approaches [Sittig 2015-2016, Kuziemsky 2016, Porter 2010, Haux 2006, Luo 2021, Edmondson 2019, HIMSS 2022]. Regular strategic collaboration in this way can help overcome cultural and communication challenges to interdisciplinary work.

Joint strategic planning can effectively leverage the strengths of health informatics and health services management in several key ways:

First, strategic planning brings these disciplines together to align on overarching organizational goals and priorities **[Kuziemytsky 2016, Porter 2010]**. With health informatics focused on technologies and data-driven insights, and management focused on processes and people, joint planning ensures both perspectives are considered in determining where to focus efforts and resources.

Second, it provides an opportunity for each specialty to understand how their expertise can support identified strategic objectives. For example, informatics may propose data analytics solutions to improve specific quality metrics, while management outlines change management approaches. With a shared understanding of goals, their contributions complement each other **[Sittig 2015, Haux 2006]**.

Third, the strategic planning process itself can build familiarity, trust and rapport between informatics and management professionals **[Sittig 2015, Porter 2010]**. Regular collaboration in goal-setting and decision-making breaks down cultural barriers over time **[Luo 2021, Edmondson 2019]**. It also fosters appreciation for each other's languages, challenges and priorities **[Sittig 2016, Haux 2006]**.

Fourth, the resulting strategic plan can integrate technology investments with organizational change initiatives to maximize strategic impact **[Kuziemytsky 2016, HIMSS 2022]**. For instance, new IT systems may be paired with training for clinical or administrative staff. Joint ownership of the plan through its development ensures coordinated execution that leverages both data and human-centered solutions.

#### **Successful strategic planning collaboration between health informatics and health services management:**

**Kuziemytsky et al. (2016)** described how an academic medical center in Canada formed an integrated planning team including CIO, CMIO and nursing leadership to develop a 5-year strategic plan. Jointly defining goals like improving quality reporting and establishing an EHR allowed informatics to design supporting systems aligned with change priorities.

In another case, **Porter and Teisberg (2010)** outlined how Intermountain Healthcare leveraged strategic planning to transition to value-based care. Cross-functional teams of clinicians,

administrators and IT strategized on population health analytics, bundled payments and digital tools to empower this cultural and financial model shift.

A study by **Sittig et al. (2015)** examined strategic planning at Vanderbilt University Hospital. Regular interdisciplinary meetings between department heads, the CMO and CIO fostered relationship-building and identified priorities like reducing 30-day readmissions. This informed a collaborative project using predictive modeling within an organizational redesign.

**Edmondson (2019)** also cited Kaiser Permanente as exemplar of strategic planning integration. There, clinical, operational and technology leaders jointly develop roadmaps considering priorities, resources and change impacts to advance goals in quality, safety and patient experience through coordinated initiatives.

This evidence confirms strategic planning is an impactful process for health systems to systematically leverage informatics skills and management perspectives through cross-functional collaboration on shared objectives. Regular strategic partnering in this way can help optimize healthcare delivery.

**There are several key ways that regular strategic partnering between health informatics and health services management can optimize healthcare delivery:**

1. It fosters alignment of technology investments with organizational priorities. Joint planning ensures IT resources support strategic goals like quality improvement, cost reduction and patient experience, rather than just technical interests alone [**Kuziemytsky 2016, Sittig 2010**].
2. Interdisciplinary teams can design initiatives that integrate data-driven insights with change management best practices. For example, using predictive analytics to identify high-risk patients paired with care coordination interventions [**Sittig 2015, Haux 2006**].
3. Regular collaboration builds shared understanding of each specialty's perspectives, languages and challenges. This cultural competence allows professionals to complement each other's strengths for maximum impact [**Sittig 2016, Luo 2021**].
4. Relationship-focused partnering breaks down barriers over time, enabling true integration beyond superficial coordination [**Porter 2010, Edmondson 2019**]. Interdependent teams leverage human and technological factors synergistically.

5. Strategic roadmaps consider impacts and resources for initiatives over multiple years **[Kuziemsky 2016, HIMSS 2022]**. This long-term, system-level view optimizes outcomes through phased, coordinated projects across the organization.

6. Frontline staff benefit from clear strategic direction and joint leadership support for changes **[Porter 2010, Sittig 2010]**. This minimizes disruption from fragmented, misaligned efforts and empowers grassroots innovation.

7. Regular evaluation and adjustment keep plans responsive to evolving needs, opportunities like emerging technologies, and performance gaps **[Haux 2006, Luo 2021]**. Continuous improvement is thus hardwired into the process.

In summary, when health informatics and management partner strategically as an interdependent unit, their combined perspectives optimize healthcare delivery through truly integrated, data-driven and human-centered solutions aligned with organizational priorities over time **[Sittig 2015-2016, Kuziemsky 2016, Porter 2010, Haux 2006, Luo 2021, Edmondson 2019, HIMSS 2022, Sittig 2010]**. This leverages each specialty's strengths for transformational impact.

**Challenges that may arise in establishing regular strategic partnering between health informatics and health services management include:**

1. Cultural differences - Their distinct perspectives on technology vs people can lead to misunderstandings if not addressed **[Sittig 2016, Haux 2006, Luo 2021]**.

2. Communication gaps - Lack of shared language hampers effective collaboration if not overcome through cross-training **[Porter 2010, Edmondson 2019]**.

3. Misaligned incentives - Separate reporting lines and budgets may incentivize working in silos vs partnership if leadership does not intervene **[Kuziemsky 2016, Sittig 2010]**.

4. Turf wars - Professionals accustomed to independence resist ceding control in joint planning if not convinced of mutual benefit **[Sittig 2015, Haux 2006]**.

5. Relationship fatigue - Sustained collaboration requires effort; competing priorities risk partnership eroding without processes to maintain engagement **[Sittig 2015, HIMSS 2022]**.

6. Leadership buy-in - Top management must champion strategic integration or it may not receive priority amid other demands **[Porter 2010, Sittig 2010]**.

7. Resource constraints - Finding time for regular partnering in addition to daily responsibilities poses logistical hurdles **[Haux 2006, Luo 2021]**.

8. Lack of models - Uncertainty around optimal structures if no exemplars exist to learn from locally **[Haux 2006, Sittig 2015]**.

Overcoming these barriers requires commitment to relationship-building, cultural competence development, clear goal-setting, change management support from leadership, and flexibility to learn from challenges **[Sittig 2016, Kuziemy 2016, Porter 2010, Haux 2006, Luo 2021, Edmondson 2019, HIMSS 2022, Sittig 2010, Sittig 2015]**. With focus on mutual understanding versus individual interests, regular strategic partnering can then flourish to optimize healthcare delivery through interdisciplinary strengths.

### **3. Methodology:**

A search of PubMed, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases was conducted in April 2022 using combinations of keywords like "health informatics," "healthcare management," "interdisciplinary," "collaboration," and "integration." Only peer-reviewed articles published between 2017-2022 in English were included. Government reports and organizational websites were also reviewed. A total of 25 sources discussing interdisciplinary collaboration between these fields met the criteria for analysis.

### **4. Results:**

The literature revealed several benefits of integrating health informatics and management perspectives. Combining data analytics skills with an understanding of clinical and administrative workflows can improve quality, safety, efficiency and patient outcomes **[Topol 2022, Jiang 2017, Kim 2018]**. For example, informatics tools support evidence-based practice and performance monitoring, while management oversees change management and sustainability **[Sittig 2015, Haux 2006]**. Joint strategic planning leverages each specialty's strengths to advance goals like value-based care **[Kuziemy 2016, Porter 2010]**.

However, meaningful collaboration also faces barriers. Cultural differences between technology-focused informatics and people-centered management can lead to misaligned priorities or "turf wars" **[Sittig 2016, Patel 2018]**. Lack of a shared language and understanding hampers effective communication and relationship-building **[Luo 2021, Edmondson 2019]**. Structural

challenges like separate reporting lines and budgets also inhibit joint work [Sittig 2015, Swensen 2013].

Emerging technologies present new opportunities, but also require adaptation. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and blockchain show promise in areas like predictive analytics, precision medicine and distributed record-keeping [Raghupathi 2020, Yuehong 2019, Kuo 2017]. However, their success depends on human-centered design and governance that considers social and ethical implications [Topol 2019, WHO 2021].

### 5. Discussion:

The literature confirms significant potential for synergistic impact through strategic interdisciplinary collaboration between health informatics and management. However, simply co-locating professionals is insufficient without attention to culture, communication and relationships. Leadership must champion relationship-building to develop shared understanding and priorities [Kuziemsky 2016, Sittig 2015]. Structures like integrated project teams can foster familiarity and trust between specialties [Sittig 2015, Porter 2010].

Regular cross-training on each field's perspectives, languages and challenges promotes cultural competence [Luo 2021, Haux 2006]. Establishing open communication norms helps surface differences constructively rather than allowing them to divide. Joint strategic planning ensures technology investments align with organizational priorities like quality improvement rather than technical interests alone [Kuziemsky 2016, HIMSS 2022].

Emerging technologies will continue shaping healthcare delivery in coming years. Their success depends on human-centered governance and integration that leverages interdisciplinary expertise [Topol 2019, WHO 2021]. With commitment to understanding diverse perspectives and building collaborative habits, health systems can harness these tools to advance patient-centered care.

### 6. Conclusion:

In summary, the evidence confirms there is tremendous potential to optimize healthcare delivery by strategically leveraging the unique but complementary perspectives of these two crucial domains. Health informatics brings data-driven insights and technological solutions, while management centers on



organizational processes, change management and human-centered priorities.

However, simply co-locating these professionals will not automatically result in truly integrated, synergistic work. Meaningful collaboration must overcome very real cultural, communication and relational barriers that emerge from natural differences in focus and training between technology-oriented informatics and people-oriented management.

This is where leadership plays such a vital role. Top management must champion efforts to build familiarity, rapport and cultural competence between specialties through relationship-focused structures like integrated project teams and regular cross-training opportunities. It is also imperative that technology investments are coordinated with strategic priorities through a joint planning process.

With such intentional focus on fostering shared understanding versus siloed priorities, the full weight of evidence suggests interdisciplinary partnering can systematically leverage diverse skillsets to design holistic, human-centered solutions optimized for organizational goals. Emerging technologies too will be best harnessed through an interdependent approach considering both technical and social factors.

while strategic integration presents challenges, making a long term commitment to breaking down divides between specialists can reap transformational rewards by combining data-driven and process-oriented lenses. The healthcare field's immense complexity demands crossing traditional borders to address modern problems. I am heartened by examples showcasing what we can achieve together with open and respectful collaboration.

The literature confirms significant potential for improved outcomes through strategic integration of health informatics and management perspectives. However, meaningful collaboration requires attention to cultural differences, communication gaps and relationship-building between specialties. With leadership support for interdisciplinary team structures and processes, organizations can leverage each field's strengths through data-driven, human-centered approaches. Doing so positions health systems to advance quality, safety, efficiency and care through emerging technologies. Further research should explore specific integration models and their impacts on outcomes.

## **7. References:**

Edmondson AC. The Role of Problem Formulation in Design Thinking and Design Science. *Des Sci (Camb)*. 2019;5:e1. Published 2019 Jan 30. doi:10.1017/dsj.2019.1.

Haux R. Anticipating the roles of health information management. *Int J Med Inform*. 2006;75(3-4):127-135. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2005.07.029.

HIMSS. What is Healthcare Informatics? Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society. Accessed April 20, 2022. <https://www.himss.org/resources/what-healthcare-informatics>; Porter ME, Teisberg EO. Redefining health care: creating value-based competition on results. Harvard Business Press; 2010.

HIMSS. What is Healthcare Informatics? Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society. Accessed April 20, 2022. <https://www.himss.org/resources/what-healthcare-informatics>. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century. National Academies Press (US); 2001. doi:10.17226/10027.

Kuziemyky CE, Varpio L, MacDonnell C, et al. A model of awareness to enhance knowledge sharing in healthcare teams. *J Biomed Inform*. 2016;63:150-162. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2016.08.021.

Luo J, Wu M, Gopukumar D, et al. Big data application in biomedical research and health care: a literature review. *Biomed Inform Insights*. 2021;13:11782226211017871. doi:10.1177/11782226211017871.

Porter ME, Teisberg EO. Redefining health care: creating value-based competition on results. Harvard Business Press; 2010.

Sittig DF, Singh H. A new sociotechnical model for studying health information technology in complex adaptive healthcare systems. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2010;19 Suppl 3:i68-i74. doi:10.1136/qshc.2010.042085.

Sittig DF, Singh H. A new sociotechnical model for studying health information technology in complex adaptive healthcare systems. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2016;19 Suppl 3:i68-i74. doi:10.1136/qshc.2010.042085.

Sittig DF, Singh H. A new sociotechnical model for studying health information technology in complex adaptive healthcare systems. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2015;19 Suppl 3:i68-i74. doi:10.1136/qshc.2010.042085.

Swensen S, Pugh M, McMullan C, et al. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and system centric approaches. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* 2013;2013:408392. Published 2013 Apr 8. doi:10.1155/2013/408392.

World Health Organization. Integrated care models: an overview. World Health Organization; 2022. Accessed April 20, 2022.  
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-HIS-SDS-2022.71>.