

The Effect Of Radiation On Radiology Technologists: A Comprehensive Review

May meshaal Al omeeri¹, Fatimah Adan Sayah Aldhafiri ²,
Ibtessam Adan sayah Aldhafiri ³, Najla Mohammed
Alshahrani ⁴, Ohood Abdullah Barnawi⁵, Kholoud
Othman Mohammad Alshammrei ⁶, Misbah Mohammed
Hassan Alasiri ⁷

1. Radiology imaging, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, momiry@ksmc.med.sa.
2. Radiology technologist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, f.aldhafreie@ksmc.med.sa.
3. Radiology technologist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, idhaferi@ksmc.med.sa.
4. Radiology technologist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, nalshhrani@ksmc.med.sa.
5. Radiology technologist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, o.barnawi@ksmc.med.sa.
6. Radiology Specialist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, khalshameri@ksmc.med.sa.
7. Radiology Specialist, King Saud Medical City, Riyadh, malaseeri@ksmc.med.sa.

Abstract:

Radiology technologists are indispensable members of the healthcare team, playing a crucial role in diagnostic imaging procedures that aid in the detection and management of various medical conditions. This comprehensive review explores the impact of radiation exposure on these healthcare professionals, examining sources, mechanisms of cellular damage, and associated health risks. It delves into the potential for radiation-induced DNA damage, cell cycle disruption, inflammation, and immune suppression. Potential health consequences, including cancer, cardiovascular diseases, reproductive issues, cataract formation, skin disorders, and neurological effects, are discussed. Essential radiation protection measures are highlighted, encompassing time, distance, shielding, personal protective equipment, dosimetry monitoring,

facility design, safety training, regulatory compliance, and dose optimization. By understanding radiation's effects and implementing effective protective strategies, radiology technologists can continue providing vital diagnostic services while safeguarding their long-term well-being.

Introduction

Radiology technologists play a crucial role in the healthcare industry, assisting physicians in diagnosing and treating various medical conditions through the use of imaging techniques such as X-rays, computed tomography (CT) scans, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and nuclear medicine procedures. However, their profession comes with an inherent risk of exposure to ionizing radiation, which can have detrimental effects on their health if proper precautions are not taken.

Ionizing radiation is a form of energy that can penetrate and ionize atoms and molecules in living tissue, causing damage to cells and potentially leading to various health issues (1). Radiology technologists are exposed to low levels of ionizing radiation on a regular basis during their work, which can accumulate over time and increase their risk of developing radiation-related illnesses (2).

This comprehensive review aims to explore the potential effects of radiation exposure on radiology technologists, including the associated risks, mechanisms of radiation-induced damage, and measures that can be taken to mitigate these risks.

Sources of Radiation Exposure for Radiology Technologists

Radiology technologists can be exposed to ionizing radiation from various sources during their work, including:

1. Diagnostic X-ray procedures: X-ray examinations are the most common source of radiation exposure for radiology technologists. During these procedures, technologists may be exposed to scattered radiation from the patient or the X-ray machine itself (3).
2. Interventional radiology procedures: Interventional radiology procedures, such as angiography and fluoroscopy, involve the use of continuous or pulsed X-

ray beams, which can result in higher levels of radiation exposure for the technologists involved (4).

3. Nuclear medicine procedures: Nuclear medicine technologists work with radioactive materials, such as radiopharmaceuticals, which emit gamma rays or positrons. This can lead to external and internal radiation exposure (5).
4. Computed tomography (CT) scans: CT scans use X-rays to produce cross-sectional images of the body, and technologists may be exposed to scattered radiation during these procedures (6).

While the levels of radiation exposure vary depending on the specific procedure and the precautions taken, it is essential to understand the potential risks associated with prolonged exposure to ionizing radiation.

Mechanisms of Radiation-Induced Damage

Ionizing radiation can interact with living cells and tissues in various ways, leading to potential damage and adverse health effects. The primary mechanisms of radiation-induced damage include:

1. Direct DNA damage: Ionizing radiation can directly interact with the DNA molecules in cells, causing breaks in the DNA strands or other structural changes. This can lead to mutations, chromosomal abnormalities, and potential carcinogenesis (7).
2. Indirect DNA damage: Ionizing radiation can also interact with water molecules in cells, producing free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS). These highly reactive molecules can subsequently cause oxidative damage to DNA, proteins, and lipids, leading to cell dysfunction or death (8).
3. Cell cycle disruption: Radiation exposure can interfere with the normal cell cycle, leading to cell cycle arrest or improper cell division. This can result in impaired tissue regeneration and increased sensitivity to further radiation exposure (9).
4. Inflammation and immune system suppression: Exposure to ionizing radiation can trigger inflammatory

responses and suppress the immune system, increasing the risk of infections and other complications (10).

Potential Health Effects of Radiation Exposure

Prolonged exposure to ionizing radiation can lead to various adverse health effects, depending on the dose, duration, and individual susceptibility. Some of the potential health risks associated with radiation exposure for radiology technologists include:

1. **Cancer:** Ionizing radiation is a well-established carcinogen, and exposure can increase the risk of developing various types of cancer, such as leukemia, breast cancer, lung cancer, and thyroid cancer (11, 12).
2. **Cardiovascular diseases:** Several studies have suggested a link between radiation exposure and an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease, stroke, and atherosclerosis (13, 14).
3. **Reproductive and developmental effects:** Radiation exposure during pregnancy can potentially cause birth defects, developmental abnormalities, and an increased risk of childhood cancer in the offspring (15, 16).
4. **Cataract formation:** Prolonged exposure to ionizing radiation can increase the risk of developing cataracts, which can impair vision and potentially lead to blindness (17).
5. **Skin disorders:** High doses of radiation can cause skin burns, rashes, and other skin disorders, while chronic low-dose exposure may contribute to premature aging of the skin (18).
6. **Neurological effects:** Some studies have suggested a potential association between radiation exposure and an increased risk of neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease (19, 20).

It is important to note that the severity of these health effects depends on various factors, including the dose of radiation, the

duration of exposure, and individual susceptibility factors, such as age, genetics, and overall health status.

Radiation Protection Measures

To mitigate the risks associated with radiation exposure, radiology technologists must adhere to strict radiation protection measures and follow guidelines established by regulatory bodies and professional organizations. Some of the common radiation protection measures include:

1. Time, distance, and shielding: The principles of time, distance, and shielding are fundamental in radiation protection. Minimizing the time spent in the radiation field, maximizing the distance from the radiation source, and using appropriate shielding materials can significantly reduce radiation exposure (21).
2. Personal protective equipment (PPE): Radiology technologists should wear appropriate PPE, such as lead aprons, thyroid shields, and protective eyewear, to minimize radiation exposure to sensitive organs (22).
3. Dosimetry monitoring: Personal dosimeters, such as film badges or thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs), should be worn by radiology technologists to monitor and record their radiation exposure levels (23).
4. Facility design and equipment maintenance: Radiology departments should be designed with proper shielding and safety features, and imaging equipment should be regularly maintained and calibrated to ensure optimal performance and minimize unnecessary radiation exposure (24).
5. Radiation safety training: Radiology technologists should receive comprehensive radiation safety training, which covers topics such as radiation physics, biological effects, protection principles, and emergency procedures (25).
6. Regulatory compliance: Radiology departments must comply with local, national, and international regulations regarding radiation protection, such as dose limits, monitoring requirements, and reporting procedures (26).

7. Dose optimization: Radiology technologists should strive to optimize radiation doses by using appropriate techniques, equipment settings, and protocols to ensure that the radiation dose is as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) while still achieving the desired diagnostic quality (27).

By implementing these radiation protection measures and adhering to best practices, radiology technologists can significantly reduce their risk of radiation-induced health effects while performing their duties safely and effectively.

Conclusion

Radiology technologists play a vital role in the healthcare system, but their profession comes with the inherent risk of exposure to ionizing radiation. This comprehensive review has explored the potential effects of radiation exposure on radiology technologists, including the associated risks, mechanisms of radiation-induced damage, and measures that can be taken to mitigate these risks.

While the potential health effects of radiation exposure are concerning, it is crucial to recognize that adherence to strict radiation protection measures, ongoing training, and regulatory compliance can significantly reduce the risks faced by radiology technologists. By prioritizing radiation safety and following best practices, these healthcare professionals can continue to provide essential diagnostic services while safeguarding their well-being.

It is also important to note that ongoing research and technological advancements in radiation protection, dosimetry, and imaging techniques can further improve the safety of radiology technologists in the future. Continuous education, collaboration among healthcare professionals, and a commitment to radiation safety are essential to ensuring the long-term health and well-being of those working in the field of radiology.

References:

1. Bushberg, J. T., Seibert, J. A., Leidholdt, E. M., & Boone, J. M. (2012). *The essential physics of medical imaging* (3rd ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
2. Radiation Protection of Medical Workers, International Atomic Energy

- Agency, <https://www.iaea.org/resources/rpop/health-professionals/other-radiation-professionals/radiation-protection-of-medical-workers>
3. Ramanandraibe, N., Andriambao, D. Z., Rakotoniaina, H. H., & Rakotonirina, H. C. (2016). Occupational radiation exposure of medical staff in radiology department of University Hospital of Antananarivo, Madagascar. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 171(3), 346-351.
 4. Miller, D. L., Vañó, E., Bartal, G., Balter, S., Dixon, R., Padovani, R., ... & Vehmas, T. (2010). Occupational radiation protection in interventional radiology: A joint guideline of the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology Society of Europe and the Society of Interventional Radiology. *Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology*, 33(2), 230-239.
 5. Radiation Protection in Nuclear Medicine, International Atomic Energy Agency, <https://www.iaea.org/resources/rpop/health-professionals/nuclear-medicine>
 6. Radiation Exposure from Medical Diagnostic Imaging Procedures, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, <https://www.fda.gov/radiation-emitting-products/medical-x-ray-imaging/radiation-exposure-medical-diagnostic-imaging-procedures>
 7. Jiang, H., & Xu, Y. (2020). Ionizing radiation and DNA damage: A review of potential mechanisms. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, 13(1), 1-9.
 8. Azzam, E. I., Jay-Gerin, J. P., & Pain, D. (2012). Ionizing radiation-induced metabolic oxidative stress and prolonged cell injury. *Cancer Letters*, 327(1-2), 48-60.
 9. Theys, J., Yahara, K., Dedobbeleer, M., Fernández-Jiménez, N., Delvaux, E., Deforce, D., & Vral, A. (2020). Impact of radiation-induced cell cycle delays on the dynamic behavior of residual DNA double-strand breaks. *Cancers*, 12(3), 571.
 10. Rube, C. E., Grudzinski, S., Kühne, M., Dong, X., Rief, N., Löbrich, M., & Rube, C. (2008). DNA double-strand break repair of blood lymphocytes and normal tissues analysed in a preclinical mouse model: Implications for radiosensitivity testing. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 14(20), 6546-6555.
 11. Radiation Risk and Pediatric Computed Tomography (CT): A Guide for Health Care Providers, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, <https://www.fda.gov/radiation-emitting-products/medical-x-ray-imaging/radiation-risk-and-pediatric-computed-tomography-ct-guide-health-care-providers>
 12. Shore, R. E., Beck, H. L., Boice, J. D., Hashizume, A., Hosoda, Y., Izumi, S., ... & Yamamoto, C. (1993). Radiation exposure

- and cancer risk in atomic bomb survivors. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 101(suppl 3), 177-182.
13. Little, M. P., Tawn, E. J., Tzoulaki, I., Wakeford, R., Hildebrandt, G., Paris, F., ... & Bouffler, S. D. (2010). Review and meta-analysis of epidemiological associations between low/moderate doses of ionizing radiation and circulatory disease risks, and their possible mechanisms. *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*, 49(2), 139-153.
 14. Darby, S. C., Ewertz, M., McGale, P., Bennet, A. M., Blom-Goldman, U., Brønnum, D., ... & Hall, P. (2013). Risk of ischemic heart disease in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 368(11), 987-998.
 15. Radiation and Pregnancy: A Fact Sheet for the Public, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/radiation/rad-preg/preg-broch.html>
 16. Brent, R. L. (2015). Protection of the embryo/fetus from ionizing radiation: a review. *Health Physics*, 108(3), 342-354.
 17. Kleiman, N. J. (2007). Radiation cataract. *Annals of the ICRP*, 37(4), 1-14.
 18. Ryan, J. L. (2012). Ionizing radiation: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 132(3), 985-993.
 19. Boice Jr, J. D. (2005). Radiation and brain cancer. *Neuroepidemiology*, 24(1), 31-37.
 20. Hamada, N., Maeda, M., Otsuka, K., & Tomita, M. (2011). Signaling pathways underpinning the manifestations of ionizing radiation-induced bystander responses. *Current Molecular Pharmacology*, 4(2), 79-95.
 21. Principles of Radiation Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, <https://www.epa.gov/radiation/principles-radiation-protection>
 22. Radiation Protection for Medical Workers, World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/ionizing_radiation/medical_radiation_exposure/workers/en/
 23. Personnel Monitoring, Dosimetry and Dose Records, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part020/part020-1501.html>
 24. Radiation Protection in Radiology Departments, American College of Radiology, <https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Radiology-Safety/Radiation-Protection>
 25. Radiation Safety Training Requirements, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part019/index.html>

Special Issue On Multidisciplinary Research

26. Radiation Protection and Safety of Radiation Sources: International Basic Safety Standards, International Atomic Energy Agency, <https://www.iaea.org/publications/10962/radiation-protection-and-safety-of-radiation-sources-international-basic-safety-standards>
27. Optimization of Radiation Protection for Medical Workers, World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/ionizing_radiation/medical_radiation_exposure/workers/optimization/en/