Effect Of Varied Intensities Of Plyometric Training On Resting Heart Rate And Spiking Among Volleyball Players

[1]RAJANI NAICK KETHAVATHU and
[2]Dr.SATHULURI RAJU

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education,
Mohan Babu University, Tirupati, India.
[2]Assistant Physical Director, Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of
Technology (A),Hyderabad, India.

ABSTRACT

Aim of the study effect of varied intensities of plyometric training on resting heart rate and spiking among volleyball players, random group design was employed. Randomly selected long distance runners (N=60) were divided into four groups consisting of 15 in each group. Experimental Group I underwent low intensity polymeric training, experimental group II underwent medium intensity plyometric training and experimental group III underwent high intensity plyometric training group four was control group which did not participated in any special training. The control group did not participate in any special exercises except of their routine. Pre test scores were obtained using standard tests on Resting heart rate and spiking before the experimental period and the post test scores were obtained immediately after the twelve weeks experimental period. The difference between the pre test and post test means were subjected to statistical treatment using ANCOVA, which was the effect of varied intensities of plyometric training. In all cases 0.05 level was fixed to test the hypothesis of the study.

Key Words: Resting Heart Rate and Spiking.

I.   INTRODUCTION

Right from the origin of physical education the major objectives of physical education was physical fitness. The aim of physical education in the early years attained physical fitness, which was a main requisite of the then citizens. As days changed, the need, importance, scope and objectives have also changed because the demand of environment to preserve to withstand stress, to resist fatigue and to possess the energy for vigorous and well rounded life has increased.

II.   STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The purpose of this study was to find out the effect of varied intensities of plyometric training on resting heart rate and spiking among volleyball players

III.   DELIMITATIONS

The study delimited to the following aspect

1. Only sixty men volleyball players from different colleges in Andhra Pradesh, who represented their college at intercollegiate level tournaments were randomly selected as subjects for the study.

2. This experimental study was administered to only foure groups of fifteen (15) men volleyball players each.

3. The age of the subjects ranged from 19-24 years only

4. In the study, only low, medium and high intensities of plyometric training where considered as varied intensities of plyometric training.

5. Following are the dependent and independent variables selected for this study.

1. Resting Heart Rate

2. Spiking

IV. LIMITATIONS

The research study was limited to the following factors, and these limitations would be taken in to consideration while analyzing the data and interpreting the results.

1.   While conducting the study the external factors like atmosphere conditions, cultural influence, and socio-economic condition and also the body structure of the subjects were not taken in to consideration.

2.   No attempt was made to control the subjects participating in other extra curricular activities.

3.   Though the subjects were motivated verbally, no attempt was made to differentiate their motivation level during testing and training.

4.   The investigator did not consider the geographical location at the time of conducting the experiment.

5.   The exercises were classified in to low, medium and high intensity based the classification of experts like Donald A.Chu (1992)

V.   SELECTION OF SUBJECTS

The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of varied intensities of plyometric training on resting heart rate and spiking among volleyball players. To achieve the purpose of this study, sixty men volleyball players were selected from different colleges of Andhra Pradesh who represented their colleges in intercollegiate level volleyball tournaments. The selected subjects were of age group ranging from 19 to 24 years with standard deviation of $\pm$ 2.1. The subjects were randomly divided into four groups and each group contained fifteen subjects. Group I acted as experimental group I and Group II acted as experimental group II group III acted as experimental group III and the fourth group was considered as control group. .

VI.   SELECTION OF VARIABLES

 Dependent Variables

Physiological Variables

1.   Resting Heart Rate

2.   Spiking

 Independent Variables

1.   12 Weeks Low Intensity Plyometric Exercises

2.   12 Weeks Medium Intensity Plyometric Exercises

3.   12 Weeks High Intensity Plyometric Exercises

VII.   EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

For the purpose of the study, random group design was employed. Randomly selected long distance runners (N=60) were divided into four groups consisting of 15 in each group. Experimental Group I underwent low intensity plyometric training, experimental group II underwent medium intensity plyometric training and experimental group III underwent high intensity plyometric training group four was control group which did not participated in any special training. The control group did not participate in any special exercises except of their routine. Pre test scores were obtained using standard tests on Resting heart rate and spiking

before the experimental period and the post test scores were obtained immediately after the twelve weeks experimental period. The difference between the pre test and post test means were subjected to statistical treatment using ANCOVA, which was the effect of varied intensities of plyometric training. In all cases 0.05 level was fixed to test the hypothesis of the study.

VIII. CRITERION MEASURES

The following criterion measures were adopted to measure the test.

1. To find out the resting heart rate, through the radial artery beats and counted in numbers per minute.

2. spiking were measured based on Volleyball Skill tests.

Table I Intra Class Correlation Coefficient of Test – Retest Scores

S.No	Variables	Tests	Obtained 'r'
1	Resting Heart Rate	Palpation method	0.88*
1	Spiking	Volleyball Skill Test.	0.80*

* Significant at 0.01 level

Resting Heart rate

Objective

The purpose of this test was to record the number of heart beat per minute.

Equipment

A stop watch (1/100 of a second) and a chair.

Procedure and Scoring

The resting pulse rate of all the subjects was recorded in sitting position in the morning session. Before taking the resting heart rate, the subjects were asked to sit in a chair inside a room and release for twenty minutes. To record the heart rate, finger tips were placed on the radial artery at the subjects wrist in such a manner that palpation was clear and the number of palpation was counted for one minute.

SPIKING

Purpose

To measure the Attacking (spiking) ability of the subjects, they were rated in subjective manner during the match by the investigator and two coaches.

Field Marking

Use a regulation size court of 18m (59') long and 9m (29' 6") wide, five Volleyballs, net (2.43m [7' 11 5/8"]) standards, antennas, measuring tape, floor tape or chalk and ball box as shown in Figure.

Volleyball Test – Spike Diagram:

Test:
Tosser will toss the ball in front of the player and 2m (6' 6 3/4") above the net. Tosses that were not at the proper height were repeated. The player stood in the court 3.05-4.57m (10-15') off the net, made a spiking approach, and spikes the ball over the net and within the boundaries of the opponent's court. Each player was given 10 attempts.

Scoring:
Subject received two points for each spike that landed beyond the attack line in the backcourt and one point for each spike that landed between the net and the attack line within the opponent's front court. A tip (dink) or half-speed shot was not recorded as a

980

spike.   The subject's final score should be the total of all 10 attempts.

Staging:

Volunteers administer the test and were not to interfere with any subject who was performing the test. Volunteer A would instruct the group doing this particular test while Volunteer B demonstrated the actual test.   Volunteer C would toss the Volleyball to the subject who performed.   Volunteers would retrieve the Volleyballs after they landed and would roll them to a volunteer who was standing near the ball box. When the subject was finished, Volunteer A would give the score to Volunteer D who was the scorekeeper. Each volunteer was to administer the test and manage their area only.

IX. COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE AND POST HOC TEST

RESULTS ON RESTING HEART RATE

The statistical analysis comparing the initial and final means of Resting Heart Rate due to varied intensities of plyometric training, such as, low, medium, and high intensities of plyometric training and control groups of volleyball players is presented in Table II

Table II COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE DUE TO LOW, MEDIUM AND HIGH INTENSITIES OF PLYOMETRIC TRAINING ON RESTING HEART RATE

	Low intensity plyometric trainings Group	Medium intensity plyometric training Group	High intensity plyometric Group	Control Group	SOV	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	Obtained F
Pre Test Mean	72.67	72.07	70.67	69.80	B	76.60	3	25.53	0.70
Std Dev	4.06	7.21	4.86	7.29	W	2034.00	56	36.32	
Post Test Mean	67.60	67.47	66.00	70.27	B	142.07	3	47.36	2.09
Std Dev	4.78	5.62	4.86	4.79	W	1270.27	56	22.68	
Adjusted Post Test Mean	66.74	66.99	66.40	71.21	B	226.06	3	75.35	8.81*
					W	470.62	55	8.56	

SOV: Source of Variance; B: Between W: Within

Required $F_{(0.05), (df\ 3,75)}$ =2.77

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

As shown in Table II, the pre test mean on Resting Heart Rate of low intensity plyometric trainings group was 72.67 with standard deviation $\pm$ 4.06 pre test mean of medium intensity plyometric training group was 72.07 with standard deviation $\pm$ 7.21, the pre test mean of high intensity plyometric training group was 70.67 with standard deviation $\pm$ 4.86, the pre test mean of control group was 69.80 with standard deviation $\pm$ 7.29. The obtained F ratio of 0.70 on pre test means of the groups was not significant at 0.05 level as the obtained F value was less than the required table F value of 2.77 to be significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there was no significant difference in means of the groups at initial stage.

The results presented in Table II, the post test mean on Resting Heart Rate of low intensity plyometric trainings group was 67.60 with standard deviation $\pm$ 4.78 post test mean of medium intensity plyometric training group was 67.47 with standard deviation $\pm$ 5.62, the post test mean of high intensity plyometric training group group was 66.00 with standard deviation $\pm$ 5.62, the post test mean of control group was 70.27 with standard deviation $\pm$ 4.79. The obtained F ratio of 2.09 on post test means of the groups was significant at 0.05 level as the obtained F value was lesser than the required table F value of 2.77 to be significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there was no significant difference in means of the groups at post experimental stage.

Taking into consideration of the pre test means and post test means, adjusted post test means were determined and analysis of covariance was done. The adjusted mean on Resting Heart Rate on low intensity plyometric trainings group was 66.74, medium intensity plyometric training group was 66.99, high intensity plyometric training group was 66.40 and control group was 71.21. The obtained F value on adjusted means was 8.81. The obtained F value was greater than the required value of 2.77 and hence it was accepted that there was significant differences among the adjusted means on the Resting Heart Rate of the subjects.

Since significant improvements were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's Confidence Interval test. The results were presented in Table III

Table III Multiple Comparisons between Low, Medium and High intensities plyometric training and Control Groups and Scheffe's Post Hoc Analysis on Resting Heart Rate

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group	Medium intensity plyometric training Group	High intensity plyometric Training Group	Control Group	MEAN DIFF	C.I
66.74	66.99			0.24	3.08
66.74		66.40		0.35	3.08
66.74			71.21	4.46*	3.08
	66.99	66.40		0.59	3.08
	66.99		71.21	4.22*	3.08
		66.40	71.21	4.81*	3.08

* Significant at 0.05 level.

The post hoc analysis of obtained ordered adjusted means proved that to be significant at 0.05 level confidence the required confidence interval was 3.08. The following paired mean comparisons were greater than the required confidence interval and were significant at 0.05 level.

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs Control Group (MD: 4.46)

Medium intensity plyometric training Group Vs Control Group (MD: 4.22)

High intensity plyometric training Group Vs Control Group (MD: 4.81)

The following paired mean comparisons were less than the required confidence interval and were not significant at 0.05 level.

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs Medium intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.24)

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs High intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.35)

Medium intensity plyometric training Group Vs High intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.59)

The pre test, post test and ordered adjusted means were presented through line graph for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure I.

Figure I LINE GRAPH SHOWING PRE, POST AND ADJUSTED MEANS ON RESTING HEART RATE

X. RESULTS ON SPIKING

The statistical analysis comparing the initial and final means of Spiking due to low intensity, medium, high intensities of plyometric training and control groups of volleyball players is presented in Table IV

Table IV COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE DUE TO LOW, MEDIUM AND HIGH INTENSITIES OF PLYOMETRIC TRAINING ON SPIKING

	Low intensity plyometric trainings Group	Medium intensity plyometric training Group	High intensity plyometric Group	Control Group	SOV	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	Obtained F
Pre Test Mean	10.00	10.20	10.20	10.33	B	0.85	3	0.28	0.30
Std Dev	1.00	1.01	0.68	1.11	W	52.13	56	0.93	
Post Test Mean	10.87	11.13	11.80	10.27	B	18.18	3	6.06	5.24*
Std Dev	1.06	1.19	0.68	1.03	W	64.80	56	1.16	
Adjusted Post Test Mean	11.01	11.12	11.79	10.15	B	20.30	3	6.77	11.36*
					W	32.77	55	0.60	

SOV: Source of Variance; B: Between W: Within

Required $F_{(0.05), (df\ 3,75)}$ =2.77

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

As shown in Table IV, the pre test mean on Spiking of low intensity plyometric trainings group was 10.00 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.00 pre test mean of medium intensity plyometric training group was 10.20 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.01, the pre test mean of high intensity plyometric training group was 10.20 with standard deviation $\pm$ 0.68, the pre test mean of control group was 10.33 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.11. The obtained F ratio of 0.30 on pre test means of the groups was not significant at 0.05 level as the obtained F value was less than the required table F value of 2.77 to be significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there was no significant difference in means of the groups at initial stage.

The results presented in Table IV, the post test mean on Spiking of low intensity plyometric trainings group was 10.87 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.06 post test mean of medium intensity plyometric training group was 11.13 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.19, the post test mean of high intensity plyometric training group group was 11.80 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.19, the post test mean of control group was 10.27 with standard deviation $\pm$ 1.03. The obtained F ratio of 5.24 on post test means of the groups was significant at 0.05 level as the obtained F value was greater than the required table F value of 2.77 to be significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there was significant difference in means of the groups at post experimental stage.

Taking into consideration of the pre test means and post test means, adjusted post test means were determined and analysis of covariance was done. The adjusted mean on Spiking on low intensity plyometric trainings group was 11.01, medium intensity plyometric training group was 11.12, high intensity plyometric training group was 11.79 and control group was 10.15. The obtained F value on adjusted means was 11.36. The obtained F value was greater than the required value of 2.77 and hence it was accepted that there was significant differences among the adjusted means on the Spiking of the subjects.

Since significant improvements were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's Confidence Interval test. The results were presented in Table V

Table V Multiple Comparisons between Low, Medium and High intensities plyometric training and Control Groups and Scheffe's Post Hoc Analysis on Spiking

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group	Medium intensity plyometric	High intensity plyometric	Control Group	MEAN DIFF	C.I

	training Group	Training Group			
11.01	11.12			0.11	0.81
11.01		11.79		0.78	0.81
11.01			10.15	0.86*	0.81
	11.12	11.79		0.67	0.81
	11.12		10.15	0.97*	0.81
		11.79	10.15	1.64*	0.81

* Significant at 0.05 level.

The post hoc analysis of obtained ordered adjusted means proved that to be significant at 0.05 level confidence the required confidence interval was 0.81. The following paired mean comparisons were greater than the required confidence interval and were significant at 0.05 level.

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs Control Group (MD: 0.86)

Medium intensity plyometric training Group Vs Control Group (MD: 0.97)

High intensity plyometric training Group Vs Control Group (MD: 1.64)

The following paired mean comparisons were less than the required confidence interval and were not significant at 0.05 level.

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs Medium intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.11)

Low intensity plyometric trainings Group Vs High intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.78)

Medium intensity plyometric training Group Vs High intensity plyometric Training Group (MD: 0.67)

The pre test, post test and ordered adjusted means were presented through line graph for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure II.

Figure II LINE GRAPH SHOWING PRE, POST AND ADJUSTED MEANS ON SPIKING

XI. CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations and delimitations of the study, the following conclusions were drawn.

1. It was concluded that varied intensities of plyometric training, low, medium and high intensities, significantly contributed on resting pulse rate among volleyball players compared to control group. Comparing among treatment groups there was no significant differences on resting pulse rate.

2. It was concluded that varied intensities of plyometric training, low, medium and high intensities, significantly contributed for improving spiking among volleyball players compared to control group. Comparing among treatment groups there was no significant differences on spiking.

REFERENCES

Baechle, T.R. and Earle, R.W. (2000) Essentials of strength training and conditioning. 2nd edition. Champaign, IL: National Strength and Conditioning Association.

Chow D, (2008) Plyometric Exercises and Jumping Power, University, Jahad Press.

Pangrazi, Robert (2007) Dynamic Physical Education for Elementary School Children 15th ed.

Potach DH, Chu DA. (2000) Plyometric Training. In: Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning. (TR Beachle and RW Earle (eds). Champaign, Il: Human Kinetics

Uppal, A.K., et al (2000) . Physical Education and Health, Delhi: Friends Publications, pp. 5-6.

Ainscough Potts AM, Morrissey MC, Crichley D, (2005) "The response of the transverse abdominus and internal oblique muscles to different postures", Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise l. 211 (4) pp 45-49.

American College of Sports Medicine. (2001)."Position stand on the appropriate intervention for weight loss and prevention of

weight regain for adults". Medicine Science Exercise 33(12): 2145-2156.

Brandenburg JP (2005), "The acute effects of prior dynamic resistance exercise using different loads on subsequent upper-body explosive performance in resistance-trained men." J Strength Cond Res,. May;19(2):427-32.

Burgess et al. (2007) "Plyometric vs Isometric Training Influences on Tendon Properties and Muscle Output" J. Strength Cond. Res.21 (3): 986-9.

Falvo MJ, et.al. (2006)" Techniques and considerations for determining isoinertial upper-body power.", Sports Biomech. Jul;5(2):293-311

Fernández-García, et al. (2000), "Intensity of exercise during road race pro-cycling competition." Med Sci Sports Exerc. May;32(5):1002-6.

Fletcher I.M, Hartwell M., (2004) "Effect of an 8 week combined weights and plyometrics training programme on golf drive performance", Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research . 18 (1) pp. 59-62.

Gabbett TJ.(2008), "Do skill-based conditioning games offer a specific training stimulus for junior elite volleyball players?", J Strength Cond Res. Mar;22(2):509-17