

Connectedness And Compactness In Intuitionistic Topological Space

K. Heartlin¹, J. Arul Jest²

¹Research Scholar, Reg.No.19212212092006

²Assistant Professor, PG and Research

Department of Mathematics,

St. Mary's College(Autonomous),

(Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University,

Abishekapatti -627012, Tirunelveli)

Thoothukudi-1,TamilNadu, India

¹heartlingladson@gmail.com ²aruljesti@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the notion of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -connectedness in intuitionistic topological spaces. Also $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compactness is defined in intuitionistic topological spaces and several preservation properties are obtained.

1 Introduction

The concept of intuitionistic sets in topological spaces was first introduced by Coker[1] in 1996. He also introduced the concept of intuitionistic points and investigated some fundamental properties of closed sets in intuitionistic topological spaces. Also in 2000 [3], developed the concept of intuitionistic topological spaces with intuitionistic sets and compactness. J.Arul Jest and K.Heartlin [6] introduced the concept of α -generalized closed sets in intuitionistic topological spaces. In this paper some properties of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ - connectedness in intuitionistic topological spaces and $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compactness in intuitionistic topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [1]: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a non-empty set. An intuitionistic set (IS for short) $\mathcal{A}$ is an object having the form $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle$ Where $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are subsets of $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$. The set $\mathcal{A}_1$ is called the set of members of $\mathcal{A}$, while $\mathcal{A}_2$ is called set of non members of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.2 [1]: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are intuitionistic set in the form $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$ respectively. Then

- a) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ iff $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \supseteq \mathcal{B}_2$
- b) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ iff $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$
- c) $\mathcal{A}^c = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_1 \rangle$
- d) $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}^c$
- e) $\phi = \langle \mathcal{H}, \phi, \mathcal{H} \rangle$, $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \phi \rangle$
- f) $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$
- g) $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$.

Definition 2.3 [5]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{It})$ be an intuitionistic topological space. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is called **I-disconnected** if there exists an I-open sets $R \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $S \neq \tilde{\phi}$ such that $R \cup S \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $R \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is called **I-connected**, if $\mathcal{H}$ is not disconnected.

Definition 2.4 [5]: Let N be an intuitionistic set in the ITS $(\mathcal{H}, \text{It})$. If there exists I-open sets R and S in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following properties, then N is called IC_i -disconnected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

$$\text{IC}_1: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \subseteq N^c, N \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}, N \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi},$$

$$\text{IC}_2: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \cap N = \tilde{\phi}, N \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}, N \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}.$$

$$\text{IC}_3: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \subseteq N^c, R \not\subseteq N^c, S \not\subseteq N^c,$$

$$\text{IC}_4: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \cap N = \tilde{\phi}, R \not\subseteq N^c, S \not\subseteq N^c.$$

N is said to be **IC_i -connected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$)** if N is not IC_i -disconnected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

Definition 2.5[7]: An ITS $\mathcal{H}$ is called $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge$ -disconnected if there exists an $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets $R \neq \phi$ and $S \neq \tilde{\phi}$ such that $R \cup S = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $R \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is called $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge$ -connected, if $\mathcal{H}$ is not $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge$ -disconnected.

Definition 2.6 [7]: Let N be an intuitionistic set in the ITS $(\mathcal{H}, \text{It})$. If there exists $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets R and S in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following properties, then N is called $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_i$ -disconnected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

$$\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_1: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \subseteq N^c, N \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}, N \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi},$$

$$\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_2: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \cap N = \tilde{\phi}, N \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}, N \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}.$$

$$\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_3: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \subseteq N^c, R \not\subseteq N^c, S \not\subseteq N^c,$$

$$\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_4: N \subseteq R \cup S, R \cap S \cap N = \tilde{\phi}, R \not\subseteq N^c, S \not\subseteq N^c.$$

N is said to be **$\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_i$ -connected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$)** if N is not $\text{I}\alpha_g^\wedge \text{C}_i$ -disconnected ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

Definition 2.7 [3]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. If a family $\{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$ of I-open sets in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the condition $\bigcup \{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, then it is called an **I-open cover** of $\mathcal{H}$. A finite subfamily of an $\text{I}\alpha_g^\Delta$ -open cover $\{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, which is also an I-open cover of $\mathcal{H}$ is called a finite I-subcover of $\{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$

Definition 2.8 [3]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. A family $\{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$ of I-closed sets in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the finite intersection property iff every finite subfamily $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\}$ of P satisfies the condition $\bigcap_{k=1}^n < \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > \neq \tilde{\Phi}$.

Definition 2.9 [3]: An ITS $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ is said to be I-compact iff each I-open cover has a finite I-subcover

Definition 2.10 [3]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space and G be an IS in $\mathcal{H}$. The family $\{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$ of I-open sets in $\mathcal{H}$ is called an I-open cover of G if $G \subseteq \bigcup \{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$.

Definition 2.11 [3]: An IS $G = < \mathcal{H}, G_1, G_2 >$ in an ITS $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ is called I-compact iff every I-open cover of G has a finite I-subcover. Also we can define an IS $G = < \mathcal{H}, G_1, G_2 >$ in $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ is I-compact iff for each family $P = \{P_k : k \in J\}$ where $P_k = \{< \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} > ; k \in J\}$ of I-open sets in $\mathcal{H}$, $G_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in J} P_{1_k}$ and $G_2 \supseteq \bigcup_{k \in J} P_{2_k}$, there exists a finite subfamily $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\}$ of P such that $G_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{1_k}$ and $G_2 \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{2_k}$

Proposition 2.12 [3]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space on $\mathcal{H}$. Then, we can also construct several ITS's on $\mathcal{H}$ in the following way:

- (a) $\text{I}\tau_{0,1} = \{[] G : G \in \text{I}\tau\}$, (b) $\text{I}\tau_{0,2} = \{< > G : G \in \text{I}\tau\}$.

Remark 2.13 [3]: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{I}\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space.

- (a) $\text{I}\tau_1 = \{\mathcal{A}_1 : < \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 > \in \text{I}\tau\}$ is a topological space on $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{\mathcal{A}_2 : < \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 > \in \text{I}\tau\}$ is the family of all closed sets of the topological space $\text{I}\tau_2 = \{(\mathcal{A}_2)^c : < \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 > \in \text{I}\tau\}$ on $\mathcal{H}$.
 (b) Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ for each $\mathcal{A} = < \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 > \in \text{I}\tau$, we

obtain $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_2)^c$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_1)^c$. Hence, we may conclude that $(\mathcal{H}, \text{It}_1, \text{It}_2)$ is a bitopological space.

3. $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -connectedness in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces

Theorem 3.1.: If G_x and G_y are intersecting $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected sets, then $G_x \cup G_y$ is also $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected.

Proof: Let $G_x \cup G_y$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -disconnected. Then there exists $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets R and S such that $G_x \cup G_y \subseteq R \cup S$, $R \cap S \subseteq (G_x \cup G_y)^c$ and $(G_x \cup G_y) \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $(G_x \cup G_y) \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Suppose G_x and G_y are $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected then $(G_x \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ or $G_x \cap S = \tilde{\phi})$ and $(G_y \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ or $G_y \cap S = \tilde{\phi})$. Since $G_x \cap G_y \neq \tilde{\phi}$, there exists $\tilde{p} \in G_x \cap G_y$ of the following cases. Case (i): Let $G_x \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ and $G_y \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$. Then $(G_x \cap R) \cup (G_y \cap R) = (G_x \cup G_y) \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ which is a contradiction. Case (ii): Let $G_x \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ and $G_y \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$. Then there exists $\tilde{p} \notin R$, $\tilde{p} \notin S$ which is impossible since $\tilde{p} \in G_x \cup G_y \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{Y}$. Case (iii): Let $G_x \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$ and $G_y \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$. Then there exists $\tilde{p} \notin R$, $\tilde{p} \notin S$ which is impossible as above. Case (iv): Let $G_x \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$ and $G_y \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$. Then $(G_x \cap S) \cup (G_y \cap S) = (G_x \cup G_y) \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$ which is a contradiction. Hence G_x and G_y are $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -disconnected.

Theorem 3.2: If G_x and G_y are intersecting $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected sets, then $G_x \cup G_y$ is also $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected.

Proof: Similar to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3: Let $(G_k): k \in J$ be a family of $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected sets such that $\cap G_k \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Then $\cup G_k$ is also $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected.

Proof: Let $G = \cup G_k$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -disconnected. Then there exists $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets R and S such that $G \subseteq R \cup S$, $R \cap S \subseteq G^c$, $G \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $G \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Consider any index $k_0 \in J$. Since G_{k_0} is $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected, we have $G_{k_0} \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$, or $G_{k_0} \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$. So we have three cases. Case (i): If $G_k \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ for each $k \in J_1$ and $G_k \cap R = (\cup G_k) \cap R = \cup (G_k \cap R) = \tilde{\phi}$ which is a contradiction. Case (ii): If $G_k \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$ for each $k \in J_1$ and $G_k \cap S = (\cup G_k) \cap S = \cup (G_k \cap S) = \tilde{\phi}$ which is a contradiction. Case (iii): If $G_k \cap R = \tilde{\phi}$ for each $k \in J_1$ and $G_k \cap S = \tilde{\phi}$ for each $k \in J_2$ where $J = J_1 \cup J_2$ and $J_1 \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $J_2 \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Since $\cap G_k \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{p} \in \cap G_k$. In this case $\tilde{p} \notin R$ and $\tilde{p} \notin S$ which is a contradiction $\tilde{p} \in G \subseteq R \cup S$. Hence G is also $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -disconnected.

Theorem 3.4: Let $(G_k): k \in J$ be a family of $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected sets such that $\bigcap G_k \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Then $\bigcup G_k$ is also $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected.

Proof : Similar to Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.5: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. Then

- (1) $\tilde{a}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected
- (2) $\tilde{a}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\tilde{a}$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -disconnected. Then there exist $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets R and S such that $\tilde{a} \subseteq R \cup S$, $R \cap S \subseteq \tilde{a}^c$, $\tilde{a} \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{a} \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$ where $\tilde{a}^c = \langle \mathcal{H}, \{a\}^c, \{a\} \rangle$. Since $\tilde{a} \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{a} \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$, we get $\tilde{a} \in R$ and $\tilde{a} \in S$. But $R \cap S \subseteq \tilde{a}^c$ implies $R_1 \cap S_1 \subseteq \tilde{a}^c$ and $R_2 \cup S_2 \supseteq \tilde{a}^c$ which is impossible. Hence $\tilde{a}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_1$ -connected. (ii) Let $\tilde{a}$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -disconnected. Then there exist $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets R and S such that $\tilde{a} \subseteq R \cup S$, $R \cap S \cap \tilde{a} = \tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{a} \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{a} \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$. Since $\tilde{a} \cap R \neq \tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{a} \cap S \neq \tilde{\phi}$, we get $\tilde{a} \in R$ and $\tilde{a} \in S$ which implies $a \notin R_2$ and $a \notin S_2$. But $R \cap S \cap \tilde{a} = \tilde{\phi}$ which implies $R_2 \cup S_2 \cup \{a\}^c = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which is impossible. Hence $\tilde{a}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge C_2$ -connected.

4. $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -Compactness In Intuitionistic Topological Spaces

In this section we discuss the concepts of an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -Compactness in intuitionistic topological spaces and also some results are discussed.

Definition 4.1: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. If a family $\{\langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle; k \in J\}$ of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the condition $\bigcup \{\langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle; k \in J\} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, then it is called an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$. A finite subfamily of an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover $\{\langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle; k \in J\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, which is also an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$ is called a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover of $\{\langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle; k \in J\}$

Definition 4.2: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. A family $\{\langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle; k \in J\}$ of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed sets in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the finite intersection property iff every finite subfamily $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\}$ of P satisfies the condition $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \langle \mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k} \rangle \neq \tilde{\phi}$.

Definition 4.3: An ITS $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is said to be $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff each $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover has a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover

Definition 4.4: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space and G be an IS in $\mathcal{H}$. The family $\{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}>; k \in J\}$ of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets in $\mathcal{H}$ is called an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of G if $G \subseteq \bigcup \{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}>; k \in J\}$.

Definition 4.5: An IS $G = <\mathcal{H}, G_1, G_2>$ in an ITS $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is called $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff every $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of G has a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover. Also we can define an IS $G = <\mathcal{H}, G_1, G_2>$ in $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff for each family $P = \{P_k : k \in J\}$ where $P_k = \{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}>; k \in J\}$ of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open sets in $\mathcal{H}$, $G_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in J} P_{1_k}$ and $G_2 \supseteq \bigcup_{k \in J} P_{2_k}$, there exists a finite subfamily $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\}$ of P such that $G_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{1_k}$ and $G_2 \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{2_k}$.

Proposition 4.6: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be an intuitionistic topological space. Then $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff the ITS $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_{0,1})$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

Proof: Necessity: Let $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact and consider an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover $\{[P_k : k \in J\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ in $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_{0,1})$. Since $\bigcup ([P_k]) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain $\bigcup P_{1_k} = \mathcal{H}$ and hence $P_{2_k} \subseteq (P_{1_k})^c$ which implies $\bigcap P_{2_k} \subseteq (\bigcup P_{1_k})^c = \phi$ which implies $\bigcap P_{2_k} = \phi$ and hence $\bigcup P_k = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, there exists $P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n$ such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_k = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which implies $\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{1_k} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\bigcap_{k=1}^n P_{2_k} = \phi$. Hence $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_{0,1})$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

Sufficiency: Suppose $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_{0,1})$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact. Consider an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover $\{P_k : k \in J\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ in $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$. Since $\bigcup P_k = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain $\bigcup P_{1_k} = \mathcal{H}$ and hence $\bigcap (P_{1_k})^c = \phi$ which implies $\bigcup ([P_k]) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_{0,1})$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, there exists $P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n$ such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^n ([P_k]) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which implies $\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{1_k} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (P_{1_k})^c = \phi$. Hence $P_{1_k} \subseteq (P_{2_k})^c$ which implies $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n P_{1_k} \subseteq (\bigcap_{k=1}^n P_{2_k})^c$ which implies $\bigcap_{k=1}^n P_{2_k} = \phi$. Thus $\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_k = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. So $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

Proposition 4.7: The ITS $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

Proof: Similar to Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 5.5.8: Let $f: (\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ be a surjective $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -continuous mapping. If $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact then $(\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ is intuitionistic compact.

Proof: Let $\{P_k : k \in J\}$ be any intuitionistic open cover of $\mathcal{Y}$. Since f is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -continuous, $\{f^{-1}(P_k) : k \in J\}$ is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, it has a finite subcover $\{f^{-1}(P_1), f^{-1}(P_2), f^{-1}(P_3), \dots, f^{-1}(P_n)\}$ such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^n f^{-1}(P_{1_k}) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\bigcap_{k=1}^n f^{-1}(P_{2_k}) = \tilde{\phi}$ that is $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (P_{1_k})) = \mathcal{H}$ and $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{k=1}^n (P_{2_k})) = \phi$ which implies $\bigcup_{k=1}^n (P_{1_k}) = f(\mathcal{H})$ and $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (P_{2_k}) = f(\phi)$. Since f is surjective $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\}$ is an open cover of $\mathcal{Y}$ and hence $(\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ is intuitionistic compact.

Corollary 4.9: Let $f: (\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ be $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -continuous. If N is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact in $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu)$, then $f(N)$ is intuitionistic compact in $(\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$.

Proof: Let $\{G_k : k \in J\}$ be an intuitionistic open set of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $f(N) \subseteq \bigcup \{G_k : k \in J\}$. Then $N \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(G_k) : k \in J\}$ where $f^{-1}(G_k)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open in $\mathcal{H}$ for each k . Since N is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a finite sub collection $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ such that $N \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(G_k) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence $f(N) \subset f(\bigcup \{f^{-1}(G_k) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}) = \bigcup \{f(f^{-1}(G_k)) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subset \bigcup \{G_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence $f(N)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 4.10: Let $f: (\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -irresolute mapping and if M is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{H}$, then $f(M)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. Let $\{P_k : k \in J\}$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open set of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $f(M) \subseteq \bigcup \{P_k : k \in J\}$. Then $M \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(P_k) : k \in J\}$ where $f^{-1}(P_k)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open in $\mathcal{H}$ for each k . Since M is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a finite sub collection $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ such that $M \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(P_k) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which implies $f(M) \subset \bigcup \{P_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence $f(M)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact relative to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 4.11: Let $f: (\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -irresolute mapping. If $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, then $\mathcal{Y}$ is also an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact space .

Proof. Let $f: (\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -irresolute mapping from $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact space $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau_\mu)$ onto an intuitionistic topological space $(\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$. Let $\{G_k : k \in J\}$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{Y}$. Then $\{f^{-1}(G_k) : k \in J\}$ is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, there is a finite subfamily $\{f^{-1}(G_{k_1}), f^{-1}(G_{k_2}), f^{-1}(G_{k_3}), \dots, f^{-1}(G_{k_n})\}$ of $\{f^{-1}(G_k) : k \in J\}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n f^{-1}(G_{k_j}) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Since f is onto, $f(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $f(\bigcup_{j=1}^n f^{-1}(G_{k_j})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n f(f^{-1}(G_{k_j})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_{k_j}$. It follows that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n G_{k_j} = \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ and the family $\{G_{k_1}, G_{k_2}, G_{k_3}, \dots, G_{k_n}\}$ is an intuitionistic finite subcover of $\{G_k : k \in J\}$. Hence $(\mathcal{Y}, I\tau_\theta)$ is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

Theorem 4.12: An ITS $(\mathcal{H}, I\tau)$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact iff every family $\{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}> ; k \in J\}$ of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed sets in $\mathcal{H}$ having the FIP has a nonempty intersection.

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact that is every $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$ has a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover. Let $P_k = \{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}> ; k \in J\}$ be a family of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed sets of $\mathcal{H}$. Also assume that this family has finite intersection property. We have to show that $\bigcap_{k \in J} P_k \neq \tilde{\Phi}$. Suppose on the contrary, $\bigcap_{k \in J} P_k = \tilde{\Phi}$ which implies $\overline{\bigcap_{k \in J} P_k} = \tilde{\Phi}$ which implies $\bigcup_{k \in J} \overline{P_k} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ that is $\bigcup_{k \in J} <\mathcal{H}, P_{2_k}, P_{1_k}> = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Since for every $k \in J$, P_k is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed set of $\mathcal{H}$, therefore $\overline{P_k}$ will be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open set of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\{\overline{P_k} = <\mathcal{H}, P_{2_k}, P_{1_k}> : k \in J\}$ is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover for $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact, this $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -cover has a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover, say, $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{P_k} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n <\mathcal{H}, P_{2_k}, P_{1_k}> = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{P_k}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which implies $\bigcap_{k=1}^n P_k = \tilde{\Phi}$. Thus, the above considered family does not satisfy the FIP which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\bigcap_{k \in J} P_k \neq \tilde{\Phi}$. Conversely, assume that the family of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed sets of $\mathcal{H}$ having FIP has nonempty intersection. To show that $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact. Let $\{P_k = \{<\mathcal{H}, P_{1_k}, P_{2_k}> ; k \in J\}$ be an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover of $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose this $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover has no finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover, that is for every finite subcollection of the given cover, say, $\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_k \neq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which implies $\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^n P_k} \neq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which implies $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \overline{P_k} \neq \tilde{\Phi}$. As each P_k is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open set of $\mathcal{H}$

therefore, each $\overline{P_k}$ is an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed set of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\{\overline{P_k} = < \mathcal{H}, P_{2k}, P_{1k} > : k \in J\}$ is a family of $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -closed set of $\mathcal{H}$ having FIP. Hence by the hypothesis it has nonempty intersection, that is $\bigcap_{k \in J} \overline{P_k} \neq \tilde{\Phi}$ which implies $\overline{\bigcap_{k \in J} \overline{P_k}} \neq \tilde{\Phi}$ which implies $\bigcup_{k \in J} P_k \neq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. This shows that the family $\{P_k = < \mathcal{H}, P_{1k}, P_{2k} > ; k \in J\}$ is not an $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -open cover for $\mathcal{H}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the given family must have a finite $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -subcover and hence $\mathcal{H}$ is $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -compact.

REFERENCE

- [1] Coker, D. 1996, A Note On Intuitionistic Sets and Intuitionistic Points, Turkish Journal of Mathematics Vol 20, No 3, pp 343-351.
- [2] Coker, D. 1997, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, fuzzy sets and Systems Vol 88, No 1, pp81-89.
- [3] Coker, D. 2000, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, Bulletin for Studies and Exchanges of Fuzziness and its Applications, Vol.81, pp. 51-56.
- [4] Selma ozcag and Coker, D. 1998, on Connectedness in Intuitionistic fuzzy special Topological Spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. sci., Vol 21, No 1, pp 33-40.
- [5] Selma ozcag and Coker, D. 2000, A Note On Connectedness in Intuitionistic fuzzy special Topological Spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. sci., Vol 23, No1, pp 45-54.
- [6] Heartlin. K. and Arul Jest. J., 2022, A New Notion of Closed Sets in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces in Journal of Algebraic Statistics Vol 13, No.2, pp.3188- 3196.
- [7] Heartlin. K. and Arul Jest. J., Proceeding of paper entitled as "On $I\alpha_g^\wedge$ -Connectedness in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces" of ICRTMA-2022, ISBN: 978-93-5680-181-3, Pg.No:57-66.